

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/072,126	BERKOWITZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thang H Ho	2188	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thang H Ho.

(2) David D. Wier (Reg. No. 48,229).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15-22 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**



(Examiner/SPB Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Wier was advised that claims of the present invention are anticipated by Microsoft, Developing Hardware Snapshot Providers for Whistler White Paper, © Microsoft Corporation 2000 (reference submitted by Applicant; IDS received on May 24, 2004). Mr. Wier indicated that the IDS was inadvertently submitted as prior art and will submit a 132 affidavit to correct the mistake..

BEST AVAILABLE COPY